

“Save John Connor”: solutions

1. $P(\neg e) = .9$
2. $P(\neg r \mid e) = .2$
3. $P(\neg r \wedge e) = .2 \cdot .1 = .02$
4. $P(asylum \mid \neg r \wedge e) = .5$
5. $P(asylum \wedge \neg r \wedge e) = .5 \cdot .2 \cdot .1 = .01$
6. $P(\neg k \wedge \neg n \wedge atlarge \wedge r \wedge e) = .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .2 \cdot .8 \cdot .1 = .00128$
- 7.

$$\begin{aligned}
P(asylum) &= P(asylum \mid r \wedge e) \cdot P(r \wedge e) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \mid r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(r \wedge \neg e) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \mid \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(\neg r \wedge e) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \mid \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(\neg r \wedge \neg e) \\
&= .6 \cdot .8 \cdot .1 + \\
&\quad .2 \cdot .001 \cdot .9 + \\
&\quad .5 \cdot .2 \cdot .1 + \\
&\quad .3 \cdot .999 \cdot .9 \\
&= .32791
\end{aligned}$$

And, as long as we’re at it...

$$\begin{aligned}
P(foster) &= P(foster \mid r \wedge e) \cdot P(r \wedge e) + \\
&\quad P(foster \mid r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(r \wedge \neg e) + \\
&\quad P(foster \mid \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(\neg r \wedge e) + \\
&\quad P(foster \mid \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(\neg r \wedge \neg e) \\
&= P(foster \mid r \wedge e) \cdot P(r \mid e) \cdot P(e) + \\
&\quad P(foster \mid r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(r \mid \neg e) \cdot P(\neg e) + \\
&\quad P(foster \mid \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(\neg r \mid e) \cdot P(e) + \\
&\quad P(foster \mid \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(\neg r \mid \neg e) \cdot P(\neg e) \\
&= .2 \cdot .8 \cdot .1 + \\
&\quad .2 \cdot .001 \cdot .9 + \\
&\quad .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .1 + \\
&\quad .3 \cdot .999 \cdot .9 \\
&= .28991
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(atlarge) &= P(atlarge \mid r \wedge e) \cdot P(r \wedge e) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \mid r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(r \wedge \neg e) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \mid \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(\neg r \wedge e) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \mid \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(\neg r \wedge \neg e) \\
&= P(atlarge \mid r \wedge e) \cdot P(r \mid e) \cdot P(e) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \mid r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(r \mid \neg e) \cdot P(\neg e) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \mid \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(\neg r \mid e) \cdot P(e) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \mid \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(\neg r \mid \neg e) \cdot P(\neg e) \\
&= .2 \cdot .8 \cdot .1 + \\
&\quad .6 \cdot .001 \cdot .9 + \\
&\quad .3 \cdot .2 \cdot .1 + \\
&\quad .4 \cdot .999 \cdot .9 \\
&= .38218
\end{aligned}$$

So before we get any evidence, our prior estimates are about a 33% chance of the T-1000 being at the insane asylum, a 29% chance of its being at John's foster parents', and a 38% of its being anywhere else.

8. $P(asylum \mid n \wedge \neg k)$ can be computed in a couple of different ways: computing and dividing by the marginal, or using an α normalization constant. I'll do both. In either case, the numerator is $P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k)$, computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k) &= P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg r) \\
&= P(e) \cdot P(r \mid e) \cdot P(asylum \mid r \wedge e) \cdot P(n \mid asylum) \cdot P(\neg k \mid asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(\neg r \mid e) \cdot P(asylum \mid \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(n \mid asylum) \cdot P(\neg k \mid asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(r \mid \neg e) \cdot P(asylum \mid r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n \mid asylum) \cdot P(\neg k \mid asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(\neg r \mid \neg e) \cdot P(asylum \mid \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n \mid asylum) \cdot P(\neg k \mid asylum \wedge n) \\
&= .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .6 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .2 \cdot .5 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .001 \cdot .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .999 \cdot .3 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 \\
&= .0032791
\end{aligned}$$

(a) Computing and dividing by the marginal. First, compute the marginal:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(n \wedge \neg k) &= P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg r) \\
&= P(e) \cdot P(r | e) \cdot P(asylum | r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | asylum) \cdot P(\neg k | asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(\neg r | e) \cdot P(asylum | \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | asylum) \cdot P(\neg k | asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(r | \neg e) \cdot P(asylum | r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | asylum) \cdot P(\neg k | asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(\neg r | \neg e) \cdot P(asylum | \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | asylum) \cdot P(\neg k | asylum \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(r | e) \cdot P(foster | r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(\neg r | e) \cdot P(foster | \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(r | \neg e) \cdot P(foster | r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(\neg r | \neg e) \cdot P(foster | \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(r | e) \cdot P(atlarge | r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(\neg r | e) \cdot P(atlarge | \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(r | \neg e) \cdot P(atlarge | r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(\neg r | \neg e) \cdot P(atlarge | \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) \\
&= .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .6 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .2 \cdot .5 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .001 \cdot .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .999 \cdot .3 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .2 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .001 \cdot .2 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .999 \cdot .3 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .2 \cdot .3 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .001 \cdot .6 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .999 \cdot .4 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 \\
&= .26541
\end{aligned}$$

and finally divide, to get:

$$P(asylum | n \wedge \neg k) = \frac{P(asylum \wedge n \wedge \neg k)}{P(n \wedge \neg k)} = \frac{.0032791}{.26541} = .012354 \text{ (or } 1.24\%)$$

- (b) Okay, now let's achieve the same result through the normalization method. Now, we have to find all three posterior location numerators, then scale them to sum to 1. To wit:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k) &= P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(foster \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg r) \\
&= P(e) \cdot P(r | e) \cdot P(foster | r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(\neg r | e) \cdot P(foster | \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(r | \neg e) \cdot P(foster | r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | foster) \cdot P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(\neg r | \neg e) \cdot P(foster | \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | foster) \\
&\quad P(\neg k | foster \wedge n) \\
&= .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .2 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .001 \cdot .2 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .999 \cdot .3 \cdot .9 \cdot .99 \\
&= .25831
\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k) &= P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge e \wedge \neg r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge r) + \\
&\quad P(atlarge \wedge n \wedge \neg k \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg r) \\
&= P(e) \cdot P(r | e) \cdot P(atlarge | r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(e) \cdot P(\neg r | e) \cdot P(atlarge | \neg r \wedge e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \\
&\quad \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(r | \neg e) \cdot P(atlarge | r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \\
&\quad \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) + \\
&\quad P(\neg e) \cdot P(\neg r | \neg e) \cdot P(atlarge | \neg r \wedge \neg e) \cdot P(n | atlarge) \\
&\quad \cdot P(\neg k | atlarge \wedge n) \\
&= .1 \cdot .8 \cdot .2 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .1 \cdot .2 \cdot .3 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .001 \cdot .6 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 + \\
&\quad .9 \cdot .999 \cdot .4 \cdot .2 \cdot .05 \\
&= .003822
\end{aligned}$$

We now have the vector $\langle .003279, .25831, .003822 \rangle$, which, when normalized (by dividing each entry by the sum of the entries, or .26541) gives us:

$$\mathbb{P}(T) = \langle \text{asylum} = .012354, \text{foster} = .97325, \text{atlarge} = .01440 \rangle \checkmark$$

Either way: clearly that bit about the niceness and the dog makes us *lots* less enthusiastic about the asylum hypothesis.